#### Unique basis graphs

Behrooz Bagheri Gh., Mohsen Jannesari, Behnaz Omoomi

> Department of Mathematical Sciences Isfahan University of Technology 84156-83111, Isfahan, Iran

#### Abstract

A set  $W \subseteq V(G)$  is called a resolving set, if for each two distinct vertices  $u, v \in V(G)$  there exists  $w \in W$  such that  $d(u, w) \neq d(v, w)$ , where d(x, y) is the distance between the vertices x and y. A resolving set for G with minimum cardinality is called a metric basis. A graph with a unique metric basis is called a unique basis graph. In this paper, we study some properties of unique basis graphs.

Keywords: Resolving set; Metric basis; Unique basis.

# 1 Introduction

Throughout the paper, G = (V, E) is a finite, simple, and connected graph of order n. The distance between two vertices u and v, denoted by d(u, v), is the length of a shortest path between u and v in G. For a vertex  $v \in V(G)$ ,  $\Gamma_i(v) =$  $\{u \mid d(u,v) = i\}$ . The diameter of G is diam $(G) = \max\{d(u,v) \mid u, v \in V(G)\}$ . The girth of G is the length of a shortest cycle in G. The set of all vertices adjacent to a vertex v is denoted by N(v) and |N(v)| is the degree of a vertex v, and is denoted by deg(v). The maximum degree and the minimum degree of a graph G, are denoted by  $\Delta(G)$  and  $\delta(G)$ , respectively. The notations  $u \sim v$ and  $u \not\sim v$  denote the adjacency and non-adjacency relations between u and v, respectively. For an ordered set  $W = \{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_k\} \subseteq V(G)$  and a vertex v of G, the k-vector

$$r(v|W) = (d(v, w_1), d(v, w_2), \dots, d(v, w_k))$$

is called the *metric representation* of v with respect to W. The set W is called a *resolving set* for G if distinct vertices have different metric representations. A resolving set for G with minimum cardinality is called a *metric basis*, and its cardinality is the *metric dimension* of G, denoted by  $\beta(G)$ . If  $\beta(G) = k$ , then Gis said to be k-dimensional.

In [14], Slater introduced the idea of a resolving set and used a *locating set* and the *location number* for what we call a resolving set and the metric dimension, respectively. He described the usefulness of these concepts when working with U.S. Sonar and Coast Guard Loran stations. Independently, Harary and Melter [7] discovered the concept of the location number as well and called it the metric dimension. For more results related to these concepts see [3, 4, 6, 11]. The concept of a resolving set has various applications in diverse areas including coin weighing problems [13], network discovery and verification [1], robot navigation [11], mastermind game [3], problems of pattern recognition and image processing [12], and combinatorial search and optimization [13].

To determine whether a given set W is a resolving set, it is sufficient to consider the vertices in  $V(G)\backslash W$ , because  $w \in W$  is the unique vertex in G for which d(w, w) = 0. When W is a resolving set for G, we say that W resolves G. In general, we say an ordered set W resolves a set  $T \subseteq V(G)$ , if for each two distinct vertices  $u, v \in T$ ,  $r(u|W) \neq r(v|W)$ .

The following bound is a known upper bound for the metric dimension.

**Theorem A.** [5] If G is a connected graph of order n and diameter d, then  $\beta(G) \leq n-d$ .

In [9, 10], the properties of k-dimensional graphs in which every k subset of vertices is a metric basis are studied. Such graphs are called randomly kdimensional graphs. In the opposite point there are graphs which have a unique metric basis. **Definition.** A graph is called a *unique basis graph* if it has a unique metric basis. A unique basis graph G with  $\beta(G) = k$  is called a *unique k-basis graph*.

In this paper, we first obtain some upper bounds for the metric dimension of unique basis graphs. Then, we give some construction for unique k-basis graphs of the given order. Finally, we obtain a lower bound and an upper bound for the minimum order of unique k-basis graphs in terms of k.

### 2 Some upper bounds

In this section we obtain some upper bounds for the metric dimension of unique basis graphs.

Two vertices  $u, v \in V(G)$  are called *twin* vertices if  $N(u) \setminus \{v\} = N(v) \setminus \{u\}$ . It is known that, if u and v are twin vertices, then every resolving set W for G contains at least one of the vertices u and v. Moreover, if  $u \notin W$  then  $(W \setminus v) \cup \{u\}$  is also a resolving set for G. [8]

For a unique basis graph we have the following fact.

**Lemma 1.** If G is a unique basis graph, then G contains no twin vertices.

**Proof.** Let *B* be the unique metric basis of *G*. If  $u, v \in V(G)$  are twin vertices, then  $u, v \in B$ ; otherwise we can replace the one in *B* with the other one. Now, since  $B \setminus \{u\}$  is not a basis of *G*, there is exactly one vertex  $w \in V(G) \setminus B$  such that  $r(u|B \setminus \{u\}) = r(w|B \setminus \{u\})$ . Consequently,  $(B \setminus \{u\}) \cup \{w\}$  is a metric basis of *G* different from *B*, which is a contradiction.

**Theorem 1.** If G is a unique basis graph of order n and diameter d, then  $\beta(G) \leq n - d - 2$ .

**Proof.** Let  $(v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d)$  be a path of length d in G. Both sets  $V(G) \setminus \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_d\}$  and  $V(G) \setminus \{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{d-1}\}$  are two resolving sets of G of size n-d. Hence, if G is a unique basis graph, then  $\beta(G) \leq n-d-1$ . To complete the proof we show that  $\beta(G) \neq n-d-1$ .

Let  $\beta(G) = n - d - 1$  and for each  $i, 1 \leq i \leq d, \Gamma_i = \Gamma_i(v_0)$ . We claim that for each  $i, 1 \leq i \leq d, \Gamma_i$  is an independent set or a clique; otherwise there exists an i for which  $\Gamma_i$  contains vertices x, y, z such that  $x \sim y$  and  $x \not\sim z$ . Therefore,  $V(G) \setminus \{y, z, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_d\}$  is a metric basis of G. Now, if  $y \not\sim z$ , then  $V(G) \setminus \{x, z, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_d\}$  is another metric basis and if  $y \sim z$ , then  $V(G) \setminus \{x, y, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_d\}$  is another metric basis of G, contrary to the hypothesis. Consequently, for each  $i, 1 \leq i \leq d, \Gamma_i$  is an independent set or a clique.

Now let for some  $i, 1 \leq i \leq d, |\Gamma_i| \geq 2$ . Then, all vertices in  $\Gamma_i$  are adjacent to all vertices in  $\Gamma_{i-1}$ ; otherwise there exist  $a \in \Gamma_{i-1}$  and  $x \in \Gamma_i$  such that  $a \not\sim x$ . Therefore, x has a neighbor in  $\Gamma_{i-1}$ , say b. Assume that  $y \in \Gamma_i$  and  $y \neq x$ . Clearly  $i \geq 2$ . Thus,  $V(G) \setminus \{a, b, y, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{i-2}, v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_d\}$  is a metric basis of G. Now, if  $y \sim a$ , then  $V(G) \setminus \{b, x, y, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{i-2}, v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_d\}$  is another metric basis and if  $y \not\sim b$ , then  $V(G) \setminus \{a, x, y, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{i-2}, v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_d\}$  is another metric basis of G. These contradictions imply that  $y \not\sim a$  and  $y \sim b$ . Hence,  $V(G) \setminus \{a, b, x, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{i-2}, v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_d\}$  is a metric basis of G, which is also a contradiction. Consequently, all vertices in  $\Gamma_i$  are adjacent to all vertices in  $\Gamma_{i-1}$ .

The above two facts imply that, if  $|\Gamma_i| \ge 2$  and  $|\Gamma_{i+1}| \ge 2$ , then all vertices in  $\Gamma_i$  have the same neighbors in  $\Gamma_{i-1} \cup \Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_{i+1}$ . Therefore, all vertices  $u, v \in \Gamma_i$  are twin vertices, which by Lemma 1 this is impossible. Thus,  $|\Gamma_i| \ge 2$  implies that  $|\Gamma_{i+1}| = 1$  and  $|\Gamma_{i-1}| = 1$ . Hence, if  $|\Gamma_i| > 2$ , then since  $\Gamma_{i+1} = \{v_{i+1}\}$ , by the Pigenhole principle there are two vertices  $u, v \in \Gamma_i$  with the same adjacency relation with  $v_{i+1}$ . Therefore, u and v are twin vertices, which is impossible. That is, for each  $i, 1 \le i \le d, |\Gamma_i| \le 2$ . Now let j be the largest integer in  $\{1, 2, \ldots, d\}$  with  $|\Gamma_j| = 2$  and  $\Gamma_j = \{v_j, y_j\}$ , where  $y_j$  is the vertex with no neighbor in  $\Gamma_{j+1}$ . Therefore, the sets  $\{v_0, v_d\}$  and  $\{v_0, y_j\}$  are two metric bases of G. This contradiction implies that  $\beta(G) \ne n - d - 1$ .

**Theorem 2.** If G is a unique basis graph of order n and girth g, then  $\beta(G) \leq n-g+1$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $C_g = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_g, v_1)$  be a shortest cycle in G. Then  $V(G) \setminus \{v_3, v_4, \ldots, v_g\}$  and  $V(G) \setminus \{v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_{g-1}\}$  are two resolving sets for G

of size n - g + 2. Since G has a unique basis, neither of these two sets is a metric basis of G. Therefore,  $\beta(G) \le n - g + 1$ .

**Theorem 3.** If G is a unique basis graph of order n, then  $\beta(G) < \frac{n}{2}$ .

**Proof.** Assume, to the contrary, that G has a unique metric basis  $B = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k\}$  and  $n \leq 2k$ . Since  $k \leq n-1$ ,  $W = (V(G) \setminus B) \cup \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{2k-n}\} \neq B$  with |W| = k. Therefore, W is not a basis of G and there exist vertices  $x, y \in V(G) \setminus W \subseteq B$  such that r(x|W) = r(y|W). Say  $x = v_i$  and  $y = v_j$ . Hence, for each  $v \in V(G) \setminus B$ ,  $d(v, v_i) = d(v, v_j)$ . For this reason,  $B \setminus \{v_i\}$  resolves  $V(G) \setminus B$ . Therefore, there is exactly one vertex  $u \in V(G) \setminus B$  such that  $r(u|B \setminus \{v_i\}) = r(v_i|B \setminus \{v_i\})$ . Consequently,  $(B \setminus \{v_i\}) \cup \{u\}$  is a metric basis of G, which is a contradiction. Thus,  $2\beta(G) < n$ .

## **3** Construction of unique *k*-basis graphs

In this section, we provide some construction for unique k-basis graphs of given order. Then we end by giving a lower bound and an upper bound for the minimum number of vertices in such graphs in terms of k.

**Remark 1.** Note that, if G is a graph of diameter d, then every  $W \subseteq V(G)$  can resolve at most  $d^{|W|}$  vertices of  $V(G) \setminus W$ . Hence, every k-dimensional graph of diameter d has at most  $k + d^k$  vertices.

In [2], Buczkowski et al. constructed a unique k-basis graph with diameter 2 and order  $k + 2^k$ .

**Theorem B.** [2] For  $k \ge 2$ , there exists a unique k-basis graph of order  $n = k + 2^k$ , diameter 2, and maximum degree n - 1.

In the following theorem pertaining to construction of unique k-basis graphs with diameter d, we obtain two necessary conditions for the existence of kdimensional graphs with diameter d and order  $k + d^k$ . **Theorem 4.** If G is a k-dimensional graph with diameter d and order  $k + d^k$ , then

(i) d ≤ 3.
(ii) For a basis B and every v ∈ B, |Γ<sub>d</sub>(v)| ≥ d<sup>k-1</sup>.

**Proof.** (i) Let G be a k-dimensional graph of diameter  $d \ge 4$  and order  $k + d^k$ . Thus,  $V(G) = U \cup B$ , where  $U = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{d^k}\}$  and the ordered set  $B = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k\}$  is a basis of G. Clearly,  $\{r(u_i|B) \mid 1 \le i \le d^k\} = [d]^k$ , where  $[d]^k$  denotes the set of all k-tuples with entries in  $\{1, 2, \ldots, d\}$ . Without loss of generality, suppose that  $r(u_1|B) = (1, 1, \ldots, 1)$  and  $r(u_2|B) = (4, 1, \ldots, 1)$ . Therefore,  $d(v_1, v_2) \le 2$  and  $d(u_2, v_1) \le d(u_2, v_2) + d(v_2, v_1) \le 3$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $d \le 3$ .

(ii) Let  $B = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k\}$ . By the order and diameter of G, each k-vector with coordinates in  $\{1, 2, \ldots, d\}$  is the metric representation of a vertex  $u \in V(G) \setminus B$  with respect to B. Therefore, for each  $v \in B$ , there are  $d^{k-1}$  vertices of G for which the *i*-th coordinate of their metric representations is d. Thus,  $|\Gamma_d(v)| \ge d^{k-1}$ .

In the following, we give a construction for unique k-basis graphs of diameter 3 and order  $k + 3^k$ .

**Theorem 5.** For every integer  $k \ge 2$ , there exists a unique k-basis graph of diameter 3 and order  $k + 3^k$ .

**Proof.** Let G be a graph with vertex set  $U \cup W$ , where  $U = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k\}$  is an independent set and W is the set of all k-tuples with entries in  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  and two vertices  $x, y \in W$  are adjacent if they are different in exactly one coordinate and this difference is 1. Moreover, the vertex  $(2, 2, \ldots, 2)$  is adjacent to all vertices in W. Also,  $w \in W$  is adjacent to  $u_i \in U$  if the *i*-th coordinate of w is 1.

The vertex (2, 2, ..., 2) is adjacent to all vertices in W and (1, 1, ..., 1) is adjacent to all vertices in U, thus diam $(G) \leq 3$ . On the other hand,  $d((3, 3, ..., 3), u_1) = 3$ . Therefore, diam(G) = 3. Since diam(G) = 3 and the order of G is  $k + 3^k$ , by Remark 1,  $\beta(G) \geq k$ . For each  $w \in W$ , r(w|U) = w, thus, U is a resolving set for G of size k. Hence, U is a metric basis of G.

Now since diam $(\langle W \rangle) = 2$ , for each  $w \in W$ ,  $|\Gamma_1(w) \cup \Gamma_2(w)| \ge 3^k - 1$  and hence  $|\Gamma_3(w)| \le k < 3^{k-1}$ . Therefore, by Theorem 4(ii), no vertex of W is in a metric basis of G. Consequently, U is the unique metric basis of G.

By Theorems 1 and 3, if G is a unique k-basis graph of order n, then  $n \ge k + d + 2$  and  $n \ge 2k + 1$ . Let

 $n_0(k) = \min\{n \mid \text{ there exists a unique } k\text{-basis graph of order } n\}.$ 

Hence, we have  $\max\{2k+1, k+d+2\} \le n_0(k)$ .

The following theorem shows that if a unique k-basis graph of order  $n_0$  exists, then for every  $n \ge n_0$ , a unique k-basis graph of order n exists.

**Theorem 6.** If G is a unique k-basis graph of order  $n_0$ , then for every  $n \ge n_0$ , there exists a unique k-basis graph of order n.

**Proof.** Let G be a given unique k-basis graph of order  $n_0$  and let u be a vertex in the basis B. Assume that  $v_0 \in V(G) \setminus B$  is a vertex such that  $d(v_0, u) = \max\{d(v, u) \mid v \in V(G) \setminus B\}$ . We construct a graph G' by identifying an end vertex of a path P of length  $n - n_0$  by  $v_0$ . By the property of  $v_0$ , B is also a resolving set for G'. Thus,  $\beta(G') \leq k$ . On the other hand, since every basis of G' contains at most one vertex of the path P, by replacing that vertex by  $v_0$ , we obtain a basis for G. Thus, G' is also a unique k-basis graph.

In the following theorem we give a recursive construction for unique basis graphs to obtain an upper bound for  $n_0(G)$ .

**Theorem 7.** If  $G_i$ , i = 1, 2, is a unique  $k_i$ -basis graph of order  $n_i$  with  $\Delta(G_i) = n_i - 1$ , then there exists a unique  $(k_1 + k_2)$ -basis graph G of order  $n_1 + n_2 - 1$  with  $\Delta(G) = n_1 + n_2 - 2$ .

**Proof.** Let  $G_i$  be a unique  $k_i$ -basis graph of order  $n_i$  with the basis  $B_i$  and  $v_i \in V(G_i)$  such that  $\deg(v_i) = n_i - 1$ , for i = 1, 2. Let G be the graph obtained from joining  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , and then identifying  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  in a vertex  $v_0$ . Thus,

deg $(v_0) = n_1 + n_2 - 2$ . Since for every  $u \in V(G_1) \setminus \{v_1\}$  and  $v \in V(G_2) \setminus \{v_2\}$ , d(u, v) = 1, if B is a basis of G, then  $B \cap V(G_i)$  is a basis of  $G_i$ , for i = 1, 2. Therefore, B is the unique basis of G.

**Proposition 1.** There exists a unique 3-basis graph of order 9 and maximum degree 8.

**Proof.** Let  $U = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$  and  $W = \{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_6\}$ . Also let G be graph with  $V(G) = U \cup W$  and  $E(G) = \{w_i w_j \mid 1 \le i \ne j \le 6\} \cup \{u_i w_j \mid 1 \le i \le 3, j = i, i+1, 6\}$ . We show that U is the unique basis of G.

Clearly, diam(G) = 2. Since |V(G)| = 9, by Remark 1,  $\beta(G) \ge 3$ . It is easy to see that U is resolving set and consequently is a basis of G. Now let B be another basis of G. Since  $\langle W \rangle$  is a complete graph,  $B \nsubseteq W$ . Therefore,  $|B \cap W| = 1$ or 2. If  $|B \cap W| = 1$ , then five vertices of W have the same representation with respect to  $B \cap W$  and since diam(G) = 2,  $B \setminus W$  can not resolve five vertices. If  $|B \cap W| = 2$ , then four vertices of W have the same representation with respect to  $B \cap W$  and  $B \setminus W$  can not resolve 4 vertices. These contradictions imply that U is the unique basis of G.

In the following theorem, based on the recursive construction in Theorem 7, we obtain an upper bound for  $n_0(k)$ .

**Theorem 8.** For every  $k, k \ge 2$ , there exists a unique k-basis graph of order  $\lceil \frac{5k}{2} + 1 \rceil$ .

**Proof.** Let k be a positive integer. If k = 2k', then the graph G obtained by the recursive construction given in Theorm 7 using k' copies of the unique 2-basis graph of order 6, constructed in Theorem B is a unique k-basis graph of order  $6k' - (k'-1) = 5k' + 1 = \frac{5k}{2} + 1$ .

If k = 2k' + 1, then the graph G obtained by the recursive construction given in Theorem 7 from k' - 1 copies of the unique 2-basis graph of order 6, constructed in Theorem B and one copy of the unique 3-basis graph of order 9 given in Proposition 1, is a unique k-basis graph of order 6(k'-1) - (k'-2) + 8 = $5k' + 4 = \lceil \frac{5k}{2} + 1 \rceil$ . Although the above theorem provides the recursive construction for unique k-dimensional graphs of order  $\lceil \frac{5k}{2} + 1 \rceil$ , to get the more explicit construction, we construct unique k-basis graphs of order 3k, in the following theorem.

**Theorem 9.** For each  $k \ge 2$ , there exists a unique k-basis graph of order 3k.

**Proof.** Let  $U = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k\}$  and  $W = \{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{2k}\}$ . Also, let G be a graph with vertex set  $V(G) = U \cup W$  such that (i) the subgraph of G induced by W is a complete graph; (ii) U is an independent set; (iii)  $u_k$  is adjacent to  $w_{2i}$  for each  $i, 1 \leq i \leq k$ ; and (iv)  $u_i$  is adjacent to  $w_{2i-1}$  and  $w_{2i}$  for each i,  $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$ . We prove that G is the desired graph.

Let  $w_i$  and  $w_j$  be two arbitrary vertices of  $V(G) \setminus U = W$ . If *i* and *j* have different parity, then  $d(w_i, u_k) \neq d(w_j, u_k)$ . If *i* and *j* have the same parity, then  $\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor \neq \lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor$  and hence  $d(w_i, u_i) \neq d(w_j, u_i)$ . Therefore, *U* is a resolving set for *G* of size *k* and  $\beta(G) \leq k$ .

Now let B be a metric basis of G. If  $u_k \notin B$ , then to resolve the set  $\{u_1, w_1, w_2, w_{2k-1}, w_{2k}\}$ , B should contain at least three vertices from this set, since  $\langle W \rangle$  is a complete graph. Now if we replace these three vertices by  $u_1$  and  $u_k$  we obtain a resolving set with smaller size. This contradiction implies that  $u_k \in B$ . If for some  $i, 1 \leq i \leq k-1, u_i \notin B$ , then to resolve the set  $\{u_i, w_{2i-1}, w_{2i}, w_{2k-1}, w_{2k}\}$ , B should contain at least two vertices from  $\{w_{2i-1}, w_{2i}, w_{2k-1}, w_{2k}\}$ , because  $\langle W \rangle$  is a complete graph. But replacing these two vertices by  $u_i$  provides a resolving set with smaller size. This contradiction implies that  $U \subseteq B$ . Since U is a resolving set, U = B is the unique metric basis of G.

By Theorems 3 and 8, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.** Let  $k \ge 2$  be an integer. Then  $2k + 1 \le n_0(k) \le \lceil \frac{5k}{2} + 1 \rceil$ .

For k = 2,  $n \ge 4 + d$  implies  $n \ge 6$ . Hence,  $n_0(2) = 6$ . It can be shown that, there is no unique 3-basis graph of order 7. Thus,  $8 \le n_0(3) \le 9$ . The determination of  $n_0(k)$ , for every integer k could be an nontrivial interesting problem.

## References

- Z. Beerliova, F. Eberhard, T. Erlebach, A. Hall, M. Hoffmann, M. Mihalak and L.S. Ram, Network discovery and verification, *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications* 24(12) (2006) 2168-2181.
- [2] P. Buczkowski, G. Chartrand, C. Poisson and P. Zhang, On k-dimensional graphs and their bases, *Period. Math. Hungar.* 46(1) (2003) 9-15.
- [3] J. Cáceres, C. Hernando, M. Mora, I.M. Pelayo, M.L. Puertas, C. Seara and D.R. Wood, On the metric dimension of cartesian products of graphs, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 21(2) (2007) 423-441.
- [4] G.G. Chappell, J. Gimbel and C. Hartman, Bounds on the metric and partition dimensions of a graph, Ars Combin. 88 (2008) 349-366.
- [5] G. Chartrand, L. Eroh, M.A. Johnson and O.R. Ollermann, Resolvability in graphs and the metric dimension of a graph, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 105 (2000) 99-113.
- [6] G. Chartrand and P. Zhang, The theory and applications of resolvability in graphs. A survey. In Proc. 34th Southeastern International Conf. on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing 160 (2003) 47-68.
- [7] F. Harary and R.A. Melter, On the metric dimension of a graph, Ars Combin.2 (1976) 191-195.
- [8] C. Hernando, M. Mora, I.M. Pelayo, C. Seara and D.R. Wood, Extremal graph theory for metric dimension and diameter, *Electron. J. Combin.* (2010) #R30.
- M. Jannesari and B. Omoomi, On randomly k-dimensional graphs, Appl. Math. Lett. 24 (2011) 1625-1629.
- [10] M. Jannesari and B. Omoomi, Characterization of randomly k-dimensional graphs, arXiv:1103.357v1.
- [11] S. Khuller, B. Raghavachari and A. Rosenfeld, Landmarks in graphs, Discrete Appl. Math. 70(3) (1996) 217-229.
- [12] R.A. Melter and I. Tomescu, Metric bases in digital geometry, Computer Vision Graphics and Image Processing 25 (1984) 113-121.

- [13] A. Sebö and E. Tannier, On metric generators of graphs, Math. Oper. Res. 29(2) (2004) 383-393.
- [14] P.J. Slater, Leaves of trees, Congr. Numer. 14 (1975) 549-559.